

## ASSESSMENT OF AGRONOMIC ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION OF ALLEY CROPPED PEARL MILLET

KAMLESH VERMA<sup>1</sup>, SAROJ KUMAR PRASAD, MANOJ KUMAR SINGH\*  
AND PRASHANT SHARMA<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University,  
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India-221005*

**Keywords:** Agri-horti system, Flag leaf, Nitrogen scheduling, Pearl millet, Zinc scheduling

### Abstract

The availability of nitrogen (N) and zinc (Zn) at the specific plant growth stage is crucial for attaining the higher nutrient use efficiency (NUE) and uptake. An experiment was conducted, having 4-N scheduling [No N; ½[basal]+ ½[3<sup>rd</sup> visible leaf (VL)]; ¼[basal]+ ½[3<sup>rd</sup>VL]+ ¼[panicle extended in flag leaf sheath (PEFLS)]; ½[basal] + ¼[3<sup>rd</sup>VL]+ ¼[panicle visible (PV)], and 4-Zn scheduling [No Zn; 2.5 kg/ha [basal]+ 0.25% spray(\*) [panicle initiation (PI)]; 2.5 kg/ha [basal]+0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\*; 2.5 kg/ha [basal]+ 0.25% [50% panicle emergence (PE)]\*+ 0.25% [milk stage (MS)]\*. Nitrogen schedule at ¼[basal]+ ½[3<sup>rd</sup>VL]+ ¼[PEFLS] recorded the maximum nutrient content, uptake, and NUE. Similarly, the maximum nutrient content, uptake, and nutrient use efficiency observed in the Zn at 2.5 kg/ha [basal]+0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\*. Moreover, N and Zn interacted significantly to increase the grain N and Zn content and uptake by grain.

### Introduction

Pearl millet is staple cereal of semi-arid region, characterised by intense temperature and low nitrogen and zinc status. (Prasad *et al.* 2015). In such adverse environmental situation, nutrient management play crucial role not only for enhancing pear millet productivity but also efficient use of applied nutrient. Basically, nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is used to measure the efficiency of applied fertilizer (Cassman *et al.* 2002) and also to compare nutrient use efficiency in different environments under different management practices. However, the excess and deficient application of mineral nutrient not only affects nutrient use efficiency but also alter the nutrient concentration in grain.

Nitrogen and zinc an essential macro and micro nutrient, respectively and known to produce synergistic effect on growth, yield, and nutrient content of the pearl millet (Prasad *et al.* 2014). N plays an indispensable role in the synthesis of chlorophyll, protoplasm, vitamins, as well as amino acids synthesis, a building blocks of protein (Bauer *et al.* 2012). Zn is the fourth most crucial yield-limiting nutrient after N, phosphorus, and potassium (Khinchi *et al.* 2017). Zinc deficiency in the plant not only retard the development and maturation of the panicles but also significantly reduces the quality of the produce (Prasad *et al.* 2014). Moreover, nitrogen required in largest quantity for growth and development but excess application leads to low nitrogen use efficiency. At same time deficient soil zinc and low application leads to deficient zinc content in grain. Thus, for enhancing zinc content in grain, agronomic zinc biofortification is most suitable and low cost approach for enhancing zinc content in grain (Singh and Prasad 2014). A very confined study was made to the effect of N and Zn scheduling on Zn biofortification and NUE in pearl millet, but such response was widely studied in wheat (Layek *et al.* 2017, Meena *et al.* 2017) and rice (Meena

\* Author for corresponding: <manoj.agro@bhu.ac.in>, <manozsingh@rediffmail.com>. <sup>1</sup>ICAR-Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, India-132001. <sup>2</sup>Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, India-173230

*et al.* 2018). With the above given facts, an experiment was carried out to assess the agronomic zinc biofortification, nitrogen and zinc use efficiency under variable nitrogen and zinc scheduling of alley cropped pearl millet in semi-arid condition of Vindhyan region.

### Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at the Agriculture farm of Rajiv Gandhi South Campus-Banaras Hindu University (25°03'N, 82°35'E, 169 m above msl), Barkachha, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, India during the *Rainy season (Kharif)* of 2017. The climate of the experiment site was typically semi-arid with weekly mean maximum and minimum temperature, ranges from 28.9 - 33.11°C and 20.4 - 29.0°C, respectively. The total rainfall received during the crop growing period was 455.6 mm, of which about 93% was received during the first four weeks of the crop establishment. The soil of experimental site was sandy clay loam (Order Inceptisol; Typic Ustochrept) having coarse sand 10.34%, fine sand 48.31%, silt 19.40%, and clay 21.95%. Additionally, the experiment soil has slightly acidic pH (5.9), low in the organic carbon (0.37 %), N (185.60 kg/ha) and Zn (0.40 ppm), while medium in available P (12.15 kg/ha) and K contents (187.26 kg/ha).

Pearl millet, was sown in the alleys of ten-year old custard apple (*Annonas quamosa* L.) orchard planted at 5 × 5 m distance. The experiment was laid out on 1<sup>st</sup> August 2017, in randomized complete block design (factorial), having 4-levels of nitrogen scheduling and 4-levels of zinc scheduling and replicated thrice (Table 1). In the plot size of 3.6 × 3.0 m, pearl millet (variety: Kaveri Super Boss) was sown manually in the opened furrow at a spacing 45 × 15 cm, having seed rate of 5 kg/ha. The recommended rate of phosphorus (40 kg/ha) and potassium (40 kg/ha) was applied basally at the time of sowing in the form of the single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. For scheduling of N, the recommended dose of N i.e., 60 kg/ha was scheduled as per the treatment, in the form of urea. Likewise, Zn application was scheduled as per the treatment in the form of zinc (Zn). The crop was harvested on 20<sup>th</sup> October 2017.

**Table 1. Details of treatments.**

Treatments
Scheduling of Nitrogen (N) <sup>†</sup>
No N-application (control)
½ [basal] + ½[3 <sup>rd</sup> VL]
¼ [basal] + ½ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PEFLS]
½ [basal] + ¼ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PV]
Zinc Scheduling (Zn)
No Zn-application (control)
2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*
2.5 kg/ha [basal] +0.25%[PI]*+0.25%[PEFLS]*
2.5 kg/ha [basal] +0.25%[PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*

\*= Zn foliar spray, <sup>†</sup>= Recommended dose of nitrogen (60 kg/ha), MS= Milk stage, PEFLS= Panicle extended in flag leaf sheath, PI=Panicle initiation, PE=50% Panicle emergence, PV = Panicle visible, VL= Visible leaf.

At physiological maturity, the grains harvested oven-dried, grinded in Wiley mill, and passed through a 30 mesh sieve for N and Zn content determination as per the procedure described by the modified Kjeldhal method (Jackson 1958) and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) method (Jorhem 1993), respectively. N and Zn uptake estimated by multiplication of content with grain yield. The nutrient use efficiency *viz.*, partial factor productivity (PFP), agronomic efficiency (AE), crop recovery efficiency (CRE), and physiological efficiency (PE) of applied N and Zn was calculated as per the formula given by Dobermann (2005).

Data collected on the pearl millet were analyzed as per the standard procedure described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) to draw a valid conclusion. The significance of the treatment effect was judged with the help of 'F' test, and the treatments mean differences tested using critical difference at a 5 per cent level of probability.

### Results and Discussion

Scheduling of nitrogen significantly influenced N and Zn content, uptake and agronomical indices of N and Zn. The maximum N and Zn content, uptake (Table 2) and agronomical indices of N and Zn was N scheduled at  $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> visible leaf (VL)] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [panicle extended in flag leaf sheath (PEFLS)]. Although, beyond PEFLS again decreased, but still more than  $\frac{1}{2}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL]. Additionally, the N scheduling causes the continuous supply of the N, increases the synchrony between demand and supply of N (Tilman *et al.* 2002) in association with more efficient mobilization of N to the grain at the grain filling stage (Belete *et al.* 2018). This proposition is in consistent with the observation of Belete *et al.* (2018) reported higher N uptake in wheat grain when N applied as  $\frac{1}{4}$  sowing +  $\frac{1}{2}$  tillering +  $\frac{1}{4}$  booting.

Moreover, N scheduling leads decreased N losses and efficient utilization by the crop. Kara (2010) reported late-season N application effective in attaining higher N recovery and use efficiency in wheat, but in the present study only up to the PEFLS stage. Also, the nitrogen use efficiency indices except for  $AE_N$  range within the common value was specified by Dobermann (2005).  $AE_N$  more than common value recorded in  $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup>VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PEFLS], characterize this scheduled as a well-managed system. Higher NUE in present experiment signifies an efficiently managed system, since a similar rate of the N applied. Moreover, as compared to previous studies (Prasad *et al.* 2014) for the variable nitrogen rate, the present investigation revealed that even with similar N rate, higher N and Zn agronomic indices can be achieved by N scheduling at different growth and development stages. Moreover, the maximum N content in grain, and physiological efficiency ( $PE_N$ ) recorded in  $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PEFLS]. Although, except for the no-N application, the scheduling found at par result for the N content in grain, and  $PE_N$ . Similarly, Layek *et al.* (2017) also recorded at par impact of the N scheduling except the no-N application on grain N content in wheat.

Furthermore, Zn scheduling recorded the synergetic effect on N and Zn content and uptake, while maximum was observed in 2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% spray (\*) [PI] + 0.25% [PEFLS]\* (Table 2). As, Zn has initial rapid binding in the root cell walls, but the slower linear transport phase (Hawkesford and Barraclough 2011). Therefore, Zn applied during the PEFLS has enough time to reach the sink (grain) to increase nutrient content, and uptake. Contrary to previous studies on wheat (Xi-Wen *et al.* 2011), where the application of Zn foliar spray during the milk and dough stage increase the Zn concentration.

Likewise, the maximum NUE indices recorded in 2.5 kg/ha[basal] + 0.25% [PE]\* + 0.25% [MS]\* (Table 3). Although, the Zn scheduling recorded to have a variable effect on the  $NUE_{Zn}$  indices. The maximum partial factor productivity ( $PFP_{Zn}$ ) recorded in the Zn at 2.5 kg/ha[basal] + 0.25[PI]\* might be due to the two splits of the Zn as compared to the three splits where one extra

**Table 2. Integrated effect of nitrogen × zinc scheduling on N and Zn content and uptake of pear millet grain under agri-horti system.**

Zn Scheduling (Zn)	Scheduling of nitrogen (N) <sup>†</sup>				
	No-N (control)	½ [basal] + ½ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL]	¼ [basal] + ¼ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PEFLS]	½ [basal] + ½ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PV]	Mean
		Nitrogen content (%) in grain			
No Zn-application (control)	1.05	1.42	1.34	1.63	1.36
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*	1.13	1.62	1.96	1.64	1.59
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]* + 0.25% [PEFLS]*	1.56	1.84	1.82	1.83	1.76
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*	1.53	1.51	1.57	1.54	1.54
Mean	1.32	1.60	1.67	1.66	1.54
CD (P=0.05) of N & Zn			0.14		
CD (P=0.05) of N × Zn			0.28		
		Nitrogen uptake by grain (kg/ha)			
No Zn-application (control)	12.66	18.50	22.09	29.52	20.69
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*	13.88	28.37	45.47	34.01	30.43
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]* + 0.25% [PEFLS]*	26.8	35.82	46.61	36.63	36.49
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*	12.66	18.50	22.09	29.52	30.39
Mean	18.23	28.57	38.00	33.20	
CD (P=0.05) of N & Zn			3.09		
CD (P=0.05) of N × Zn			6.19		
		Zinc content (mg/kg) in grain			
No Zn-application (control)	5.09	14.25	12.65	14.50	11.62
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*	7.23	15.12	17.23	16.77	14.09
2.5 Zn kg ha <sup>-1</sup> [basal] + 0.25% [PI]* + 0.25% [PEFLS]*	15.4d	16.84	24.60	18.14	18.75
2.5 Zn kg ha <sup>-1</sup> [basal] + 0.25% [PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*	13.70	14.35	18.03	15.70	15.45
Mean	10.35	15.14	18.13	16.28	
CD (P=0.05) of N & Zn			1.20		
CD (P=0.05) of N × Zn			2.4		
		Zinc uptake by grain (g/ha)			
No Zn-application (control)	6.22	18.47	21.54	26.32	18.14
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*	8.85	26.57	39.88	34.73	27.51
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]* + 0.25% [PEFLS]*	26.75	32.72	62.87	36.28b	39.65
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*	17.39	30.11	43.41	33.31	31.05
Mean	14.80	26.97	41.93	32.66b	
CD (P=0.05) of N & Zn			3.58		
CD (P=0.05) of N × Zn			7.17		

\*= Zn foliar spray, <sup>†</sup>= Recommended dose of nitrogen (60 kg/ha), MS= Milk stage, PEFLS= Panicle extended in flag leaf sheath, PI=Panicle initiation, PE=50% Panicle emergence, PV= Panicle visible, VL= Visible leaf.

Tables 3. Nutrient use efficiency of applied N (NUE<sub>N</sub>) and Zn (NUE<sub>Zn</sub>) under nitrogen and zinc scheduling in pearl millet.

Treatments	Partial factor productivity (PFP) (kg/kg)		Agronomic efficiency (AE) (kg/kg)		Crop recovery efficiency (CRE)		Physiological efficiency (PE)	
	N (PFP <sub>N</sub> )	Zn (PFP <sub>Zn</sub> )	N (AE <sub>N</sub> )	Zn (AE <sub>Zn</sub> )	N (CRE <sub>N</sub> ) (kg/kg)	Zn (CRE <sub>Zn</sub> ) (g/kg)	N (PE <sub>N</sub> ) (kg/kg)	Zn (PE <sub>Zn</sub> ) (kg/g)
<b>Scheduling of Nitrogen (N)<sup>†</sup></b>								
No N-application (control)	0.00	308.43	0.00	42.28	0.00	2.18	0.00	8.24
¼ [basal] + ¼ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL]	29.55	423.40	6.89	137.72	0.28	4.90	22.45	23.94
¼ [basal] + ½ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PEFLS]	37.45	533.69	14.79	158.64	0.56	5.20	26.64	33.35
½ [basal] + ¼ [3 <sup>rd</sup> VL] + ¼ [PV]	33.37	455.21	10.71	56.08	0.47	3.21	24.36	15.55
	2.64	43.48	3.09	55.09	0.08	1.64	9.23	16.52
	CD (P = 0.05)							
<b>Zinc Scheduling (Zn)</b>								
No Zn-application (control)	20.04	0.00	4.94	0.00	0.20	0.00	16.33	0.00
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]*	25.59	602.74	10.28	110.26	0.34	3.44	23.64	23.70
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25%[PI]*+0.25% [PEFLS]*	27.15	571.45	5.46	154.68	0.38	6.96	9.59	28.01
2.5 Zn kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PE]* + 0.25% [MS]*	27.59	546.54	11.70	129.78	0.39	5.09	23.89	29.37
	2.64	43.48	3.09	55.09	0.08	1.64	9.23	16.52
	CD (P = 0.05)							

\*= Zn foliar spray, †= Recommended dose of nitrogen, 60 kg/ha, MS= Milk stage, PEFLS= Panicle extended in flag leaf sheath, PI=Panicle initiation, PE=50% Panicle emergence, PV= Panicle visible, VL= Visible leaf, NS= Non significant, S = significant.

0.25 % Zn spray was applied and increases the total Zn applied. The maximum agronomic and crop recovery efficiency ( $AE_{Zn}$  and  $CRE_{Zn}$ ) recorded in 2.5 kg/ha[basal] +0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\*, while the physiological efficiency ( $PE_{Zn}$ ) in 2.5 kg/ha[basal] +0.25% [PE]\* + 0.25% [MS]\*. Present results (except  $PE_{Zn}$ ) follow the finding of Layek *et al.* (2017), who suggested that in wheat  $PFP_{Zn}$ ,  $AE_{Zn}$ ,  $CRE_{Zn}$ , and  $PE_{Zn}$  decreased as the Zn spray delayed. Moreover, our study recorded the maximum N and Zn use efficiency on Zn scheduling as compared to simply applying the different rate of the Zn (Prasad *et al.* 2015).

N and Zn scheduling significantly interacted to increase the N and Zn content and uptake positively (Table 2). The maximum N content in grain recorded in  $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PEFLS]  $\times$  2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25[PI]\* while maximum N uptake, Zn content and uptake by grain recorded at  $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PEFLS]  $\times$  2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\*. Specifically, in a particular N schedule, the grain N and Zn content and uptake firstly increase from no-Zn application and attains maximum at 2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\* and thereafter decreases. However, in the absence of Zn application, the maximum grain N and Zn content and uptake recorded in the  $\frac{1}{2}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PV]. Contrary, in the absence of N, the maximum N and Zn content and uptake recorded in the 2.5 kg/ha [basal] + 0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\*. As, N and Zn have positive interaction (Kumar *et al.* 1985), also Zn leads to a higher rate of the N accumulation (Grzebisz *et al.* 2008).

It may be concluded that there are new opportunities for managing the N and Zn fertilizers more judiciously and efficiently in pearl millet to increase the sustainability and livelihood of the farmers, especially in semi-arid regions. Three split of N ( $\frac{1}{4}$  [basal] +  $\frac{1}{2}$  [3<sup>rd</sup> VL] +  $\frac{1}{4}$  [PEFLS]) has a positive influence on nutrient content, and uptake, NUE and ZnUE. Although, the application of the last split beyond the PEFLS stage again decreased the nutrient content, and use efficiency. Application of Zn at 2.5 kg/ha[basal] +0.25% [PI]\*+ 0.25% [PEFLS]\* is effective in maximizing the agronomic and crop recovery efficiency of Zn as well increased the Zn content and uptake. In contrast, maximum NUE, and physiological efficiency of Zn were found to be maximum in three Zn split and last two splits during 0.25% [PE]\* + 0.25% [MS]\*.

## References

- Bauer JT, Kleczewski NM, Bever JD, Clay K and Reynolds HL 2012. Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and the productivity and structure of prairie grassland communities. *Oecologia* **170**: 1089-1098.
- Belete F, Dechassa N, Molla A and Tana T 2018. Effect of split application of different N rates on productivity and nitrogen use efficiency of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Agric. Food Secur.* **7**: 92.
- Cassman KG, Dobermann A and Walters DT 2002. Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency, and nitrogen management. *Ambio* **31**: 132-140.
- Dobermann AR 2005. Nitrogen use efficiency - state of the art. *Agronomy & Horticulture*. Faculty Publications 316. Univ. Nebraska, Lincoln. 16 pp.
- Gomez KA and Gomez AA 1984. *Statistical procedure in agriculture research*. Wiley 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Chichester, New York. 704 pp.
- Grzebisz W, Wrońska M, Diatta JB and Szczepaniak W 2008. Effect of zinc foliar application at an early stage of maize growth on patterns of nutrients and dry matter accumulation by the canopy part II. Nitrogen uptake and dry matter accumulation patterns. *J. Elem.* **13**: 29-39.
- Hawkesford MJ and Barraclough P 2011. *The molecular and physiological basis of nutrient use efficiency in crops*, the molecular and physiological basis of nutrient use efficiency in crops. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK. 499 pp.
- Jackson M 1958. *Soil chemistry analysis*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 498 pp.

- Jorhem L 1993. Determination of metals in foodstuffs by atomic absorption spectrophotometry after dry ashing: NMKL inter-laboratory study of lead, cadmium, zinc, copper, iron, chromium and nickel. *J. AOAC Int.* **76**: 798-813.
- Kara B 2010. Influence of late-season nitrogen application on grain yield, nitrogen use efficiency and protein content of wheat under isparta ecological conditions. *Turk. J. Field Crops* **15**: 1-6.
- Khinchi V, Kumawat SM, Dotaniya CK and Rakesh S, 2017. Effect of nitrogen and zinc levels on yield and economics of fodder pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.), *Int J. Pure Appl. Biosci.* **3**: 426-430.
- Kumar V, Ahlawat VS and Antil RS 1985. Interactions of nitrogen and zinc in pearl millet: 1. effect of nitrogen and zinc levels on dry matter yield and concentration and uptake of nitrogen and zinc in pearl millet. *Soil Sci.* **139**: 351-356.
- Layek A, Prasad SK, Singh MK, Verma SK and Meena RP 2017. Phenophase-based nitrogen and zinc scheduling for agronomic zinc biofortification and indices of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian J. Agron.* **62**: 531-534.
- Meena RP, Prasad SK, Layek A, Singh MK and Das M 2018. Nitrogen and zinc scheduling for zinc biofortification in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agr. Sci.* **88**: 805-808.
- Meena S, Prasad S and Singh MK 2017. Effect of nitrogen levels and zinc fertilizer scheduling on economic of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) production in Varanasi district of Uttar Pradesh. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* **17**: 1-8.
- Prasad SK, Singh MK and Singh R 2014. Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizer on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under agri-horti system of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Bioscan* **9**: 163-166.
- Prasad SK, Singh R, Singh MK and Rakshit A 2015. Zinc biofortification and agronomic indices of pearl millet under semi-arid region. *Int. J. Ag. Environ. Biotechnol.* **8**: 171-175.
- Singh MK and Prasad SK 2014. Agronomic aspects of zinc biofortification in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India B.* **84**: 613-623.
- Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R and Polasky S 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature* **418**: 671-677.
- Xi-Wen Y, Xiao-Hong T, Xin-Chun L, William GJ and Yu-Xian C 2011. Foliar zinc fertilization improves the zinc nutritional value of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grain. *African J. Biotechnol.* **10**: 14778-14785.

(Manuscript received on 10 January, 2023; revised on 10 March, 2023)