

## EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT NITROGEN FERTILIZERS AND HUMIC ACID ON WHEAT CROP IRRIGATED WITH DIFFERENT WATER REGIME USING <sup>15</sup>N

AHMED A MOURSY\* AND MM ISMAIL

*Soils and Water Research Department, Nuclear Research Center,  
Atomic Energy Authority, P.O. 13759, Egypt*

*Keywords:* Fertilizer types, <sup>15</sup>N technique, Water regime, Wheat crop

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to observe effects of water requirements and different fertilizers on wheat crop's yield, production and N uptake. Data showed that dry matter yield of wheat grain was higher with Hu + AS (5.82 mt/ha) compared with applied water 100% ET<sub>C</sub>. Concerning the rate of water regime, the best significant grain yield of wheat was obtained with 100% ET<sub>C</sub> (4.23 mt/ha). Nitrogen derived from fertilizer Ndff% with 50% ET<sub>C</sub> of water was 28.41 and 27.28% for grain and straw, respectively. At 100% ET<sub>C</sub> of water the Ndff% was 30.16 and 27.75% for grain and straw, respectively. Nitrogen utilized by grains and straw was more efficient under treatment Hu + AS combined with 50% Etc, 100% Etc recording 15.6 and 32.23%, respectively. At 50% ET<sub>C</sub> of water requirements for wheat crop, higher N remained in 0 - 15, 15 - 30 and 30 - 45 cm soil depth were nearly closed to each other compared with the treatment made at 100% ET<sub>C</sub> of water requirements.

### Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., Family: Poaceae) is one of the most important crops, providing over 20% of the calories consumed by the world's population (Braun *et al.* 2010). It is an important crop in irrigated perimeters of the arid region (Rathore *et al.* 2016).

Fertilizer application is one way to control and improve yield and nutritional quality of crops for human consumption. In the current food production scenario across major cropping systems of the world, crop yield is limited significantly by availability of nitrogen (N) and water resources rather than the crop genetics (Sinclair and Rufty 2012).

Addition of humic substances has been shown to improve aggregation in soils with a range of texture grades and mineral adjustments (Quilty 2011). The cation exchange capacity of the soil is also impacted by the application of humic substances. Humus generally accounts for 50 - 90% of the cation-absorbing power of mineral surface soils. Like clays, humus colloids hold nutrient cations i.e. potassium, calcium, magnesium, in easily exchangeable form, wherein they can be used by plants but are not too readily leached out of the profile by percolating waters (Hama *et al.* 2011, Lillo *et al.* 2013).

The main objective of this work was to investigate the effect of water regime and fertilizer types on wheat production and nitrogen distribution in soil profile using <sup>15</sup>N technique under wheat plants grown in sandy soil.

### Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the Soils and Water Research Department, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Inshas, Egypt. A new variety of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Giza-171) was used as test crop grown on sandy soil which have 88.5% sand with

\*Author for correspondence: <ahmad1a2m3@yahoo.com>.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjb.v50i2.54081>

2.7% silt and 8.8% clay; pH (1 : 2.5) 7.97 and electrical conductivity (EC) 0.27 dS/m; organic content (OC) 170 mg/kg, organic matter (OM) 0.3 g/kg, total nitrogen (TN) 70 mg/kg, carbon : nitrogen ratio (C/N) 2.4 and calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) 10 mg/kg. Fertilization treatments were distributed in factorial randomized complete block design with three replicates under drip irrigation system. Fertilizer rate was divided into three equal doses and applied through the drip irrigation system (fertigation). The three splits were applied at 21, 51 and 81 days after sowing. Some of the chemical properties of irrigation water are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of irrigated water used in the experiment.**

pH	EC (dS/m)	SAR	Soluble ions (mmol/l)						
			Cations				Anions		
			Mg <sup>2+</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	HCO <sup>3-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	Cl <sup>-</sup>
7.53	0.38	2.8	0.51	1.32	0.22	2.13	0.33	1.54	2.31

The water was delivered via 50 mm diameter poly ethylene (PE) main pipe through a control head involving media and screen filters. Fertilizer injector (19 mm venturi) was fitted with valves and pressure gauges. Two drip irrigation plots connected with 16 mm diameter PE laterals served to provide two different water regimes. Each plot included 18 laterals having 0.5 m spacing between laterals. Each lateral had online emitters at 0.5 m apart along the lateral line. Each plot with 1.5 × 2.4 m<sup>2</sup> consists of 18 laterals where fertilization treatments were applied.

The experimental protocol has been presented in Table 2. There were 2 main treatments (A and B) under each of which 6 sub-treatments were run (Table 2). The main plots were divided into two sections which included two different water regimes.

**Table 2. Showing the experimental protocol.**

Sl. no.	Experiment title	Meaning	Abbreviation	Application amount
A	W1	50% water requirements	50%ETc	4844 m <sup>3</sup>
B	W2	100% water requirements	100%ETc	9688 m <sup>3</sup>
1	C	Control	-	No fertilizer added
2	Hu	Humic acid	-	1.2 kg/ha
3	AN	Ammonium nitrate	-	180 kg/ha
4	AS	Ammonium sulphate	-	180 kg/ha
5	50% Hu + 50% AN	Humic acid and ammonium nitrate	-	0.6 + 90 kg/ha
6	50% Hu + 50% AS	Humic acid and ammonium sulphate	-	0.6 + 90 kg/ha

The <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratio was determined by emission spectrometry <sup>15</sup>N-analyzer (Model NOI-6PC, Germany) following the description of International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA 2001). Various percentage fractions of nitrogen derived from fertilizer (Ndff), fertilizer use efficiency (FUE), fertilizer-N remained in soil and fertilizer-N losses were calculated according to Hardarson and Danso (1990). Chemical and physical analysis of experimental soil samples was carried out according to Page *et al.* (1982) and Black (1965).

Data collected were statistically analyzed as a factorial complete block design with nine replications using analysis of variance to evaluate main and interaction effects as described by Snedcor and Cochran (1982). Means among treatments were compared using LSD at  $p = 0.05$  probability.

### Results and Discussion

The yield of grain dry matter was affected significantly by fertilization types and water regime (Table 3). Grains yield was higher with combined treatment of Hu + AS (5.82 mt/ha) than individual treatment of As (5.26 mt/ha), Hu + AN (5.11 mt/ha), AN (4.88 mt/ha), Hu 2.38 mt/ha and control (1.93 mt/ha) under 100%  $ET_C$  water regime. Concerning water regime, the best significant grains yield was obtained under 100%  $ET_C$  (4.23 mt/ha). The highest value of grain yield achieved with Hu + AS was 5.82 mt/ha. The usefulness of crop water indicators to assess crop growth, productivity and soil water status demonstrates the potential of various spectral processing methods for retrieving crop water contents from canopy reflectance spectrums (Zhang *et al.* 2018a).

Dry matter yield of straw was affected significantly by fertilization types and water regime (Table 3). Straw yield was higher with AN (5.96 mt/ha) than those of Hu + AN (5.79 mt/ha), AS (5.79 mt/ha), Hu + AS (5.52 mt/ha), Hu (3.37) mt/ha and control (1.94 mt/ha) when 100%  $ET_C$  water regime was applied. Concerning the rate of water regime, the best significant straw yield was obtained with 100%  $ET_C$  (4.73 mt/ha). Interaction between fertilizers types versus water regime resulted in the highest value AN (5.96 mt/ha) straw yield. The mixed sowing irrigation and splitting nitrogen to the big flare stage could increase not only grain yield, but also resource use efficiency under straw retention (Zhang *et al.* 2018b).

**Table 3 Effects of water regime and fertilizer types on wheat dry matter yield (mt/ha)**

Treatment (T)	Water regime (W) 50%		
	Grains	Straw	Total biomass
Control	1.38	2.29	3.67
Hu	1.70	2.86	4.56
AN	2.13	2.56	4.68
AS	3.69	5.42	9.11
Hu + AN	3.73	4.92	8.66
Hu + AS	3.12	5.36	8.48
Mean	2.63	3.90	6.53
	Water regime (W) 100%		
Control	1.93	1.94	3.87
Hu	2.38	3.37	5.74
AN	4.88	5.96	10.84
AS	5.26	5.79	11.06
Hu + AN	5.11	5.800	10.90
Hu + AS	5.82	5.52	11.33
Mean	4.230	4.73	8.96

Grains: LSD 0.05 = T: 0.36, W: 0.36, T\*W: 0.63;

Straw: LSD 0.05 = T: 0.34, W: 0.34, T\*W: 0.60.

Nitrogen uptake was higher in the grains of wheat than in the straw (Table 4). The N yield (kg/ha) in different plant parts were significantly different among the treatments. Although higher values were obtained in Hu + AS, Hu + AN treatment with grains and straw (83.77) and 47.05 kg/ha), respectively when 100% ET<sub>C</sub> was applied compared with all the treatments. Nitrogen uptake by crop depends on both soils N supply and crop growth, and soil water content affects both the availability of N and crop growth (Gonzalez-Dugo *et al.* 2010). Nitrogen uptake in grains was lower for control (14.273 kg/ ha), and Hu (23.080 kg /ha) and AN (51.72 kg/ha). N uptake in straw yield had a similar trend with grains. Concerning the rate of water regime, significant grain and straw yield of wheat were achieved with 100% ET<sub>C</sub> (50.39 and 39.63 kg/ha) compared to those recorded for 50% ET<sub>C</sub> of water (32.06 and 32.96 kg/ha). Interaction between fertilizer types and water regime resulted in the highest grain yield at Hu + AS (83.77 mt/ha). Albina *et al.* (2013) reported that irrigation is the main factor affecting nitrogen uptake, translocation, distribution and accumulation in winter wheat. Rathore *et al.* (2017) showed that the irrigation levels and N rates are two of the most important factors for optimizing yield, water productivity and nitrogen-use efficiency of wheat in arid regions.

**Table 4. Effects of water regime and fertilizer types on N uptake kg/ha of wheat crop.**

Treatment (T)	Water regime (W) 50% ET <sub>C</sub>		
	Grains	Straw	Mean
Control	10.38	11.20	21.59
Hu	21.27	23.46	44.73
AN	25.07	22.00	47.07
AS	46.50	47.13	93.63
Hu + AN	47.05	46.77	93.81
Hu + AS	42.09	47.200	89.29
Mean	32.06	32.96	65.02
	Water regime (W) 100% ETC		
Control	14.27	11.07	25.35
Hu	23.08	24.23	47.31
AN	51.72	45.89	97.61
AS	61.56	54.43	115.99
Hu + AN	67.96	48.68	116.63
H + AS	83.77	53.46	137.23
Mean	50.39	39.63	

Grain; LSD 0.05 = T:1.75, W, 1.75, T\*W: 3.02; straw: LSD 0.05 = T: 0.80, W: 0.80, T\*W: 1.61.

The percentage of N uptake from fertilizer by grain was higher than that of straw under all treatments (Table 5). Plants irrigated with 100% Et<sub>C</sub> indicated the highest %Ndff by either grain or straw. In this regard, application of combined treatment Hu + AS resulted in high percentages of N derived from fertilizer accounted for 34.65 and 29.03% by grain and straw, respectively. Despite of fertilization treatments, the mean values of %Ndff were slightly higher in case of 100% Etc than those of 50% Et<sub>C</sub>. This was true for grains and straw. Comparison between fertilization treatments indicated the following ranking, Hu + AS > Hu + AN > AS > AN, for either grains or straw. Kim *et al.* (2008) reported that synergistic relationships exist between water and nitrogen and revealed that both of them has positive effects on each other. Nitrogen use efficiency was

frequently affected by N fertilizer type and water regimes (Table 5). Nitrogen used by straw was more efficient with combined Hu + AS treatment under 50% ET<sub>C</sub>, and 100% ET<sub>C</sub> recording 17.36 and 18.74%, respectively. Nitrogen used by grains was nearly closed to those of straw and became more efficient under Hu + AS combined treatment in conjunction with 50% ET<sub>C</sub>, while it was 15.60 and 32.23% under 100% ET<sub>C</sub> for the same sequence. NUE of grains was significantly higher than those recorded with straw under 100% ET<sub>C</sub> of water; but reversible trend was noticed under 50% ET<sub>C</sub>. The adoption of an appropriate deficit irrigation and N rate combination can be an effective means to reduce non-beneficial water consumption, achieve higher yield, and improve water productivity (WP) and NUE for wheat in an arid environment (Rathore *et al.* 2017).

**Table 5. Effects of water regime and fertilizer types on %Ndff, Nddf kg/ha, %NUE.**

Treatment (F)	Water regime (W) 50% ET <sub>C</sub>					
	% Ndff		Nddf (kg/ha)		% NUE	
	Grains	Straw	Grains	Straw	Grains	Straw
AN	19.5	18.0	4.89	3.96	2.7	2.2
AS	28.0	31.5	13.02	14.85	7.2	8.3
Hu + AN	33.05	26.5	15.45	12.39	13.77	13.77
Hu + AS	33.35	33.1	14.04	15.62	15.6	17.36
Mean	28.41	27.28	11.702	11.705	9.82	10.41
	Water regime (W) 100% ET <sub>C</sub>					
AN	21.0	18.3	10.86	8.40	6.03	4.7
AS	32.0	30.5	19.70	16.60	10.94	9.22
Hu + AN	33.0	30.65	22.43	14.92	24.92	16.6
Hu + AS	34.65	31.55	29.03	16.87	32.23	18.74
Mean	30.16	27.75	20.51	14.18	18.53	12.31

Fertilizer-nitrogen remained in soil was distributed in the soil profile from 0 - 45 cm depth, and significantly affected by fertilization types and water regime (Fig. 1). Under 50%ET<sub>C</sub> of water regime, high fertilizer-N was remained in 0 - 15 cm, while those recorded in 15 - 30 cm and 30 - 45 cm were nearly closed to each other. These values, in general, were higher than those achieved under 100%ET<sub>C</sub> water regime. Generally, N remained in soil was higher in case of individual fertilization of AN or AS than combined treatments.




Fig. 1. Effects of water regime and fertilizer types on fertilizer-nitrogen in soil profile.

Nitrogen remained in soil was localized, to some extent in 20 - 40 and 40 - 60 cm when full dose of mineral fertilizer was applied. Also, the combined treatment of 50% compost + 50% mineral fertilizer showed accumulation of remained-N in the top layer 0 - 20 cm while the quantities remained in 20 - 40 and 40 - 60 cm were nearly close to each other (Ghabour *et al.* 2015).

In this study, the grains yield of wheat crop was significantly varied according to fertilizers types and water regimes. In this regard, grain yield of plants irrigated with 100%Et<sub>C</sub> was higher in case of humic acid combined with ammonium sulfate (Hu + AS) (5.817 ton/ha) than those of other treatments. These values of grain yield surpass those recorded under 50%Et<sub>C</sub> for the same fertilization treatment. Nitrogen use efficiency was frequent according to plant parts and water regimes. For NUE by grain was significantly higher than those recorded for straw under 100% ET<sub>C</sub> while reversible trend was noticed under 50% ET<sub>C</sub>. Nitrogen remained in soil after harvest was slightly higher in case of 50%Et<sub>C</sub> than those recorded with 100%Etc water regime. Generally, N remained in soil was decreased under combined treatments of humic acid with either ammonium sulfate or ammonium nitrate comparing to individual fertilization treatments. All treatments reflected high accumulation of fertilizer-N remained in the surface 15 cm. These values tended to decrease gradually at 15 - 30 and 30 - 45 cm depth.

## References

- Albina K, Jeremy JJ and Rebecca ED 2013. Impacts of drought on plant water relations and nitrogen nutrition in dry land perennial grasses. *Plant Soil* **372**:541-552.
- Ankeny MD 1992. Methods and theory for unconfined infiltration measurements. *In: Advances in measurement of soil physical properties: Bringing Theory into Practice*. SSSA Spec. Publ. 30. G. C. Topp *et al.* (ed.). SSSA, Madison, WI. pp. 123-141.
- Black CA 1965. *Methods of soil analysis*, ASA, SSSA, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Braun HJ, Atlin G and Payne T 2010. *In: Reynolds, M.P. (Ed.), Multi-location Testings as a Tool to Identify Plant Response to Global Climate Change*. Climate change and crop production. CABI Climate Change Series, Surrey, pp. 115-118,
- Calvo P, Nelson L and Kloepper J 2014. Agricultural uses of plant bio stimulants. *Plant Soil* **383**: 3-41.
- Ghabour S, Galal YGM, Soliman MS, El-Sofi DM, Moursy AA and El-Sofi MM 2015. Nitrogen distribution in soil profile under sesame, maize and wheat crops as affected by organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers using <sup>15</sup>N technique. *I. J. Plant Soil Sci.* **6(5)**: 294-302.
- Gonzalez-Dugo V, Durand JL and Gastal F 2010. Water deficit and nitrogen nutrition of crops: A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **30**: 529-544.
- Gregory JH, Dukes MD, Miller GL and Jones PH 2005. Analysis of double-ring infiltration techniques and development of a simple automatic water delivery system. *Applied Turf grass Science*. Available at: <http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/ats/gui de/2005/ring/>. (accessed on 20/6/2016).
- Hama T, Nakamura K, Kawashima S, Kaneki R and Mitsuno T 2011. Effects of cyclic irrigation on water and nitrogen mass balances in a paddy field. *Ecological Engineering* **37**: 1563-1566.
- Hardarson R and Danso SKA 1990. *In: Use of nuclear techniques in soil-plant relationship* (ed-Hardarson and Danso). Training course series No. 2, 1990 FAO/IAEA.
- IAEA Vienna 2001. *Use of isotope and radiation methods in soil and water management and crop nutrition*. Manual. Training course series No. 14. IAEA, Vienna.
- Kim K, Clay DE, Carlson CG, Clay SA and Trooien T 2008. Do synergistic relationships between nitrogen and water influence the ability of corn to use nitrogen derived from fertilizer and soil? *Agron. J.* **100(3)**: 551-556.
- Lillo J, Martín I, Salas JJ and Palacios-Díaz MP 2013. Short-term effects of reclaimed water irrigation: *Jatropha curcas* L. cultivation. *Ecological Engineering* **50**: 44-51.

- Page AL, Miller RH and Kenney DR 1982. Methods of soil analysis, Part 1, ASA, SSSA, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Quilty J and Cattle S 2011. Use and understanding of organic amendments in Australian agriculture: A review. *Soil Research* **49**(1): 1-26.
- Rathore VS, Nathawat NS, Bhardwa jS, Sasidharan RP, Yadav BM, Kumar M, Santra P, Yadava ND and Yadav OP 2017. Yield, water and nitrogen use efficiencies of sprinkler irrigated wheat grown under different irrigation and nitrogen levels in an arid region. *Agricultural Water Management* **187**: 232-245.
- Rathore VS, Nathawat NS, Meel B and BhardwajS 2016. Cultivars and nitrogen application rates affect yield and nitrogen use efficiency of wheat in hot arid region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. B Biol. Sci.* 2016.
- Reynolds WD, Elrick DE and Young EG 2002. Single-ring and double-ring or concentric-ring infiltrometers. p. 821-826. In (J.H. Dane and G.C. Topp, Eds). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 4, Physical methods.* SSSA, Madison.
- Sinclair TR and RuftyTW 2012. Nitrogen and water resources commonly limit crop yield increases, not necessarily plant genetics, *Global Food Security*, vol.1, no. 294-98.
- Snedcor GW and Cochran WG 1982. *Statistical methods.* 7<sup>th</sup> Ed, the Iowa State University Press, Iowa.
- Zhang C, Liu J, Shang J and Cai H 2018a. Capability of crop water content for revealing variability of winter wheat grain yield and soil moisture under limited irrigation. *The Science of the total environment* **631-632**: 677-687.
- Zhang L, Liu H, Sun J, Li J and Song Y 2018b. Seedling characteristics and grain yield of maize grown under straw retention affected by sowing irrigation and splitting nitrogen use. *Field Crops Research* **225**: 22-31.

*(Manuscript received on 28 March, 2019; revised on 29 May, 2020)*