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Abstract

One hundred F2 and F3 single plant progenies along with their parents and the infector rows were evaluated for 13 quantitative traits to assess the genetic variability, heritability, associations and estimation of number of genes for bacterial leaf blight resistance and yield related traits. In both F2 and F3, a wide range of variability was present for all the traits, indicating considerable scope for selection. High heritability accompanied with high genetic advance was observed for plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers per plant and grain yield per plant. Positive and significant correlation were observed between grain yield per plant with days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers per plant, test weight and flag leaf length in either one of the generation or in combination of both F2 and F3. Path-coefficients analysis showed that plant height, panicle length and test weight had high direct positive effect in both the F2 and F3. The estimated number of gene(s) in the segregating generations for bacterial leaf blight was 2.14 in the F2 and 0.92 in the F3 revealed that two genes were involved in the inheritance of resistance to bacterial leaf blight in F2, while only one gene in F3 due to dominance effect.

Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the oldest domesticated crops provides food for more than half of the world’s population and constitutes a major source of calories for urban and rural inhabitants (Khush 2005). Bacterial leaf blight caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) is one of the most devastating diseases affecting entire rice acreage. It causes severe yield losses of up to 80%, depending on the stage of the crop, cultivar susceptibility and the environmental conditions (Srinivasan and Gnanamanickam 2005).

Crop improvement for grain yield has been achieved in rice through effective use of F2 and F3 segregating populations and fixing desirable traits combinations. However, there are still possibilities to increase the yield output through proper breeding technologies. Grain yield is a complex trait and is the result of interaction of many variables. The existence of correlation may be attributed to the presence of linkage or pleiotropic effect of genes or physiological and development relationship or environmental effect or in combination of all (Oad et al. 2002). The importance of correlation and path coefficient analysis is particularly appreciable when highly heritable characters associated with a complex trait like yield are identified, and successfully used as criteria for effective selection to achieve high yield. To accumulate yield contributing characters together with bacterial blight resistance, it is essential to know the association among various traits along with path coefficients between the major contributors and the target trait. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study the variability, heritability, associations and estimation of number of genes for bacterial leaf blight resistance and yield related traits in the segregating F2 and F3; and possible breeding strategies to improve yield with enhanced resistance are discussed.

*Author for correspondence: <anilbhu987@gmail.com>.
Material and Methods

F₂ and F₃ single plant progenies derived from a cross between two indica rice varieties i.e., HUR 105 (bacterial leaf blight susceptible parent) and IRBB 55 (bacterial leaf blight resistant parent) were used. The 100 F₂ and F₃ single plant progenies were raised along with their parents and infector rows of susceptible varieties Pusa Basmati 1 (PB 1) in a CRBD during Kharif 2013 at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India. Each of the F₂ and F₃ lines was grown in three rows of 1.5 m in three replications. Spacing was maintained at 20 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants. The recommended packages of practices were followed to raise healthy crops.

The culture of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (strain BX043 wild type) was obtained from Directorate of Rice Research, Hyderabad, India and subcultured on peptone sucrose agar medium and maintained it at pH 7.2 - 7.4 (Fahy and Persley 1983) and pathogenicity test, clipping method was used to inoculation the rice plants with Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. The test was conducted on fully developed leaves at 45-day-old rice plants after transplanting. The top 1 - 3 inches of completely developed leaves was clipped off one by one with sterilised scissors dipped in a bacterial suspension containing 10⁸ cfu/ml. Following inoculation, the plants were observed after every 24 hrs time intervals to note the appearance of disease symptoms, and lesion length were recorded at 14, 21 and 28 days after inoculation (DAI).

After eliminating the border plants, observations were recorded on ten randomly selected plants from the parents and the F₂ and F₃ single plant progenies from each replication on days to 50% flowering (DF), days to maturity (DM), plant height (PH), panicle length (PL), panicle weight (PW), number of effective tillers per plant (ET), fertile spikelet per panicle (FSP), total number of spikelet per panicle (TSP), test weight (TW), grain yield per plant (GYP), flag leaf length (FLL), flag leaf width (FLW) and disease severity (DS), and mean value were used for statistical analysis.

The data were analysed for variability as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985), correlation coefficient by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958) and path analysis by Dewey and Lu (1959). The regression analysis was performed to detect association between resistance to bacterial leaf blight and quantitative traits using SPSS 16.0 version software. Per cent disease incidence was calculated with the help of formula given by Gnanamanickam et al. (1999).

The gene number or effective factors in the F₂ and F₃ segregating population was estimated following Bjarko and Line (1988) as, \( n = (GR)^2 \left[ 1.5 - 2h(1-h) \right] / \left( 8[V_{F2} - (V_{PS} + V_{PR} + 2V_{F1})]/4 \right) \) and \( n = (GR)^2 / 5.33\left[ V_{F3} - (V_{PR} + V_{PS})/2 \right] \), respectively. Where \( n \) = estimated number of segregating genes, \( GR \) = genotypic range, estimated as per phenotypic range of the segregating generation or the difference between the two parents (\( P_S - P_R \)), \( P_R \) = the mean of the resistant parent, \( P_S \) = the mean of the susceptible parent, \( h = (F_1 - P_R)/(P_S - P_R) \), \( F_1 \) = the mean of the F₁ generation, \( V_{PR} \) = the variance of the resistant parent, \( V_{PS} \) = the variance of the susceptible parent, \( V_{F1} \) = the variance of the F₁ generation, \( V_{F2} \) = the variance of the F₂ generation, \( V_{F3} \) = the variance of the F₃ generation and \( n \) = the estimated number of segregating genes.

Results and Discussion

All the traits showed wide range of variation in both the segregating F₂ and F₃ indicating ample scope for selection (Table 1). The magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variance was higher than corresponding genotypic coefficient of variances. As expected, the genotypic coefficient of variances for panicle length, panicle weight, fertile spikelet per panicle, total number of spikelet per panicle, test weight, flag leaf length and flag leaf width in F₂ were lower than the F₂, which is obviously due to increase in homozygosity of alleles in the F₃ arising through
Table 1. Genetic parameters of 13 traits in F$_2$ and F$_3$ segregating generations of rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>PW</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>FSP</th>
<th>TSP</th>
<th>TW</th>
<th>GYP</th>
<th>FLL</th>
<th>FLW</th>
<th>DS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range Min.</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>109.33</td>
<td>73.13</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>116.60</td>
<td>169.33</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>74.67</td>
<td>108.67</td>
<td>70.27</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>118.70</td>
<td>165.00</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>98.67</td>
<td>130.33</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>31.90</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>221.63</td>
<td>243.17</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>48.57</td>
<td>34.80</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>57.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>97.33</td>
<td>133.00</td>
<td>100.10</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>21.83</td>
<td>215.60</td>
<td>237.67</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>48.13</td>
<td>36.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>74.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>86.28</td>
<td>119.93</td>
<td>92.97</td>
<td>24.85</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>181.46</td>
<td>203.62</td>
<td>23.42</td>
<td>41.42</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>34.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>87.07</td>
<td>120.60</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>25.63</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>180.77</td>
<td>200.67</td>
<td>23.41</td>
<td>40.62</td>
<td>30.22</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>33.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm (±)</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCV (%)</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>60.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>60.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritability (%)</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>88.06</td>
<td>89.10</td>
<td>80.24</td>
<td>84.87</td>
<td>69.91</td>
<td>60.61</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>34.72</td>
<td>68.56</td>
<td>71.28</td>
<td>39.73</td>
<td>45.15</td>
<td>92.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>90.93</td>
<td>91.74</td>
<td>90.70</td>
<td>76.80</td>
<td>65.67</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>22.14</td>
<td>56.09</td>
<td>77.02</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>30.84</td>
<td>94.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic advance as % of mean</td>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>19.83</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Days to maturity (DM), plant height (PH), panicle length (PL), panicle weight (PW), number of effective tillers per plant (ET), fertile spikelet per panicle (FSP), total number of spikelet per panicle (TSP), test weight (TW), grain yield per plant (GYP), flag leaf length (FLL), flag leaf width (FLW) and disease severity (DS), and mean value was used for statistical analysis.
fixation of genes in these traits, while higher genotypic coefficient of variances in F₁ the F₂ for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of effective tillers per plant, grain yield per plant and disease severity. The phenotypic coefficient of variance of days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, number of effective tillers per plant, fertile spikelet per panicle, total number of spikelet per panicle, test weight and grain yield per plant was higher in F₃ than the F₂. Results of present study support the earlier observations (Chouhan et al. 2014, Singh et al. 2014a), though their studies were limited to pure lines.

The extent to which genetic segregation is expected in the later generation of a cross is largely a reflection of the heritability of the traits. Here, the parents and progenies were evaluated in the same season to avoid over or under estimation of heritability arising out of possible environmental factors i.e., season × generation interaction. The high heritability was observed for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers per plant, test weight, grain yield per plant and disease severity. High heritability does not always indicate high genetic gain. The heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean under the control of additive gene action would be effective for selecting superior lines. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were recorded for panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers per plant and grain yield per plant, while high heritability coupled with low genetic advance as per cent mean were observed in disease severity. Variability of these traits could be predominantly due to additive gene effects, so the phenotypic selection would be effective. The traits days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, test weight, flag leaf length and flag leaf width exhibited high heritability with moderate genetic advance, which is desirable. Similarly, high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean was earlier reported by Singh et al. (2013) in total number of grains per panicle, filled grains per panicle, number of effective tillers per plant, leaf width and grain yield per plant.

The phenotypic correlation coefficients were positive and highly significant between grain yield per plant with days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers per plant, test weight and flag leaf length in either one of the generations or in combination of both generations (Table 2). The association studied indicated that the grain yield of rice can be improved by selecting lines having higher performance for these traits. Similar trend was observed in the earlier findings (Singh et al. 2014b) for plant height, panicle length, fertile spikelet per panicle, total grains per panicle, spikelet fertility percentage and test weight, although their studies were based on pure lines. In both the F₂ and F₃, the association of grain yield per plant with disease severity was positive and very low though non-significant. The correlation between disease severity and days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, number of effective tillers per plant and flag leaf length was positive non-significant. The association between disease severity and panicle weight, fertile spikelet per panicle, total number of spikelet per panicle, test weight and flag leaf length was negative and non-significant. Most of the above results of present investigation are in conformity with the finding of Loan et al. (2004).

The results of regression analysis are presented in Table 3. The positive and significant regression in F₂ and F₃ were observed for plant height and flag leaf width. In F₂, positive and significant regression was observed for panicle length and test weight while negative non-significant for number of effective tillers per plant, grain yield per plant and flag leaf length. In F₃ positive and significant regression was observed for grain yield per plant and flag leaf length while negative and significant for test weight. When positive and significant regression of the above traits are taken into consideration, positive selection level was found to be suitable for effective selection of desirable segregants in both generations. In the present study, regression analysis suggested that the traits plant height, panicle length, test weight, grain yield per plant, flag leaf length and flag leaf width contributed to bacterial leaf blight resistance in either one of the
Table 2. Correlation coefficient (phenotypic) of different trait pairs in F$_2$ and F$_3$ segregating generations of rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>PW</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>FSP</th>
<th>TSP</th>
<th>TW</th>
<th>GYP</th>
<th>FLL</th>
<th>FLW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM F$_2$</td>
<td>0.958**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.935**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH F$_2$</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.251*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL F$_2$</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.339**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW F$_2$</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.225*</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.821**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET F$_2$</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.307**</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSP F$_2$</td>
<td>0.323**</td>
<td>0.345**</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP F$_2$</td>
<td>0.256*</td>
<td>0.297**</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.969**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>0.988**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW F$_2$</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>-0.084</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
<td>-0.214</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP F$_2$</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.450**</td>
<td>0.492**</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.549**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.232*</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.318**</td>
<td>0.338**</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.453**</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLL F$_2$</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.235*</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.176</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.256*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLW F$_2$</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.280*</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>-0.191</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS F$_2$</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F$_3$</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>-0.191</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** and *: Significant at 1 and 5 per cent level, respectively.
generation (F₂ or F₃) or in combination of both generation. Similarly, Channamallikarjuna et al. (2010) reported that plant height and leaf length were contributed to disease resistance in rice.

Table 3. Regression analysis for bacterial leaf blight resistance to other yield traits in rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Unstandardized coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−2.74</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−0.97</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSP</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>−2.20</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLL</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLW</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>33.08</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only yield related traits viz., days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, number of effective tillers per plant, fertile spikelet per panicle and test weight were considered for path-coefficient analysis (Table 4). The traits plant height, panicle length and test weight exhibited high positive direct effects on grain yield per plant in both F₂ and F₃. The high direct effects for above traits were also reported by Singh et al. (2013) for days to maturity, plant height, number of filled grains per panicle and test weight. The path coefficient analysis, thus, showed that plant height, panicle length, number of effective tillers per plant and test weight would exert a favourable direct influence on increased grain yield per plant, although the direct effect of above traits was high, this resulted in positive and significant correlation of these traits with grain yield per plant. The residual effect in F₂ and F₃ were moderate indicating that the traits considered for path analysis were appropriate.
Table 4. Direct and indirect effect of six traits on grain yield per plant in F₂ and F₃ segregating generations of rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>FSP</th>
<th>TW</th>
<th>Correlation with GYP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSP</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimates of minimum number of genes controlling bacterial leaf blight resistance and yield related traits are presented in table 5. Estimation of number of genes becomes essential to carry out efficient selection in the segregating population. It is important to note that the estimation of number of genes is based on independent segregation; if loci are linked a large number will be involved (Parlevliet and Kupier 1985). The estimated gene number for bacterial leaf blight was 2.14 in the F₂ and 0.92 in the F₃ revealed that 2 genes were involved in the inheritance of resistance to bacterial leaf blight in F₂, while only one gene in F₃ due to dominance effect. The gene number for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of effective tillers per plant, test weight, grain yield per plant and flag leaf length in the F₂ were 4.41, 6.18, 4.82, 0.46, 0.14, 0.06 and 1.62 respectively, whereas in the F₃, the respective gene numbers were 0.24, 0.22, 1.68, 0.07, 0.09, 0.22 and 0.55. The estimated number of genes for simply inherited traits viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of effective tillers per plant, test weight, flag leaf length and disease severity were higher in F₂ in comparison to F₃; unlike to those that have complex inheritance like grain yield per plant where estimated number of gene were higher in F₃. Towey and Jinks (1977) postulated that the number of effective factors / genes increases steadily over successive generations of selfing following an initial cross. These results are conformity with the earlier reports of Alam et al. (2014).

Table 5. Estimation of minimum number of genes controlling bacterial leaf blight resistance and yield related traits in F₂ and F₃ segregating generations of rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>TW</th>
<th>GYP</th>
<th>FLL</th>
<th>DS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of genes</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The present study revealed ample genetic variability was present in the segregating populations for yield related traits and bacterial leaf blight resistance. Further, high heritability for plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, number of effective tillers and grain yield per plant
coupled with high genetic advance in segregating populations enhances possibility of selecting plants with superior grain yield and resistance, if selection is practiced for grain yield and resistance simultaneously. Rice is a strictly self-pollinated crop so under above conditions, pedigree method of breeding would be the most convenient and effective breeding strategy to isolate high yielding pure lines with enhanced resistance of commercial value as advocated by Casali and Tigchelaar (1975). Several of the F3 progenies were found superior to parents and thus identified as transgressive segregants. The F3 progenies, showing relatively low disease severity but had high grain yield per plant, could be further purified, multiplied and tested in station trials, may be used as donor parent to improve the bacterial leaf blight resistance and grain yield levels in existing rice cultivars.
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